

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

R&B Deptt-Widening and Strengthening of Bhimavaram-Pippara road from Km.0.00 to 3.00 (Upto Vishnu College) in West Godavari District- Revised Administrative Sanction- Plan MDR- Accorded – Orders – Issued.

TRANSPORT, ROADS & BUILDINGS (R.IV) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No. 157

Dated: 01-02 -2014
Read the following:

1. G.O.Rt.No.960, TR&B(R.IV)Dept, Dt:03.08.2012
2. From ENC(R&B) Roads, Hyd., Lr.No.508/BP road/Eluru/DEE3/AEE/2012, Dt:13.08.2013
3. From ENC(R&B) Roads, Hyd., Lr.No.508/BP road/Eluru/DEE3/AEE/2012, Dt:13.09.2013

ORDER:

In the letter 2nd read above, the Engineer-in-Chief (R&B) Roads, Hyd., has stated that the work of Widening and Strengthening of Bhimavaram-Pippara road from Km.0.00 to 3.00 (Upto Vishnu College) in W.G District, was administratively sanctioned for an amount of Rs.550.00Lakhs vide the G.O 1st read above, under Plan MDR and technically sanctioned, vide T.S.No. /Plan(MDR)/DEE3/AEE/2012-13, dt.10 -10-12. The subject work was entrusted to M/s.Coastal Engineering Constructions at 15.72% less than the estimate contract value based on SSR-208-09 vide CR Agreement No.159/2012-13, dated 11.03.2013. The work is in progress.

2. He has also stated that there were lot of encroachments on this road. Hence original estimate was sanctioned proposing to widen the road to 10.00M width, i.e., to the extent of land available without encroachments. However, there is a demand from educational institutions, public and public representatives for forming 4 lane road with paved shoulders, in place of present proposal of widening to 10.0 M carriageway width, as the traffic intensity on this road is as high as 21,154 PCU, as thousands of students and other public travel daily on this stretch of the road.

3. He has further stated that due to the above, thousands of students, and other public travel on this road, by two wheelers, cars and other modes of transport for their daily educational, medical and commercial needs to reach Bhimavaram town. Hence there is heavy traffic intensity on this road of order of 21,154 PCU. Hence, it is proposed to widen the road to 4 lane for a length from Km 0/0 to 3/0 , along with additional paved shoulders, depending on necessity, for a length of 450 M.

4. For the above cause, public, public representatives and Non Governmental Organizations (NGOs) pursued with the encroachers and succeeded in getting the encroachments removed and as of now the Govt. land of widths varying from 26.00 m to 32.00m is available. After removal of encroachments, the SE(R&B) Eluru had proposed to widen the road to four lane(Km 0/0 to 3/0) , with paved shoulders wherever required (450 M length), and central divider, along with drains (400 M length) and retaining walls (390 M length). The reach is located in Bhimavaram town limits.

(PTO)

5. As such the SE(R&B) Eluru has prepared a revised detailed estimate amounting to Rs.998.00Lakhs, against original sanctioned amount of Rs 550.00 lakhs vide G.O.1st read above, and requested to obtain the revised administrative sanction from the Govt.

6. Further the SE(R&B) Eluru, reported that the work entrusted to M/s.Coastal Engineering Constructions at 15.72% less than the estimate rates is in progress. As the widening of road to four lane is to be taken up simultaneously, it would be advantageous to Government to entrust the additional work to the subsisting contractor M/s Coastal Engineering Constructions as this is a discount tender at 15.72% less than the estimate contract value based on 2008-09 SSR. While stating the above, the SE(R&B) Eluru had also requested to obtain necessary permission from Government for entrusting the additional work also to the subsisting contractor at agreement rates along with revised administrative sanction as the same being advantageous to the Govt.

7. Further in the letter 3rd read above, he has also stated that stated that, wherein the Government was requested to accord revised Admn. Sanction for the subject work for Rs 998.00 lakhs, against original Administrative sanction for Rs.550.00 lakhs, and also to accord permission for entrusting the additional work also to the subsisting contractor keeping in view of the facts as detailed below for kind consideration:

- i. At the time of submitting original proposal to the Govt, there were lot of encroachments on this road. Hence it was proposed to widen this road to 10.00M carriageway only, adjusting within the available width encroachment free area, even though four lane widening is the minimum required to meet the high traffic intensity generated due to proximity of educational institutes on the road.
- ii. The Govt. has accorded Administrative sanction for Rs.550.00 lakhs and the work was entrusted to M/s.Coastal Engineering Constructions at a discount tender of 15.72% less than the estimate contract value based on 2008-09 SSR.
- iii. Subsequently the public representatives, along with Government officials and non-governmental organizations made a concentrated effort and succeeded in getting the encroachments evicted at their convenience (photos enclosed) and taken into possession and now the ROW available for widening without any involvement of LA, varying from 26.00 m to 32.00m, which was hitherto under encroachments.
- iv. Ever since then, the public representatives have been demanding to widen to four lane to meet the traffic requirements.
- v. In this regard it is submitted that, there is also a possibility of encroachments, if the available land is not utilized by the Government in future. Further in case, four laning is done at a later stage, in phased manner, it will result in double operations, leading to wastage of money.
- vi. Hence keeping all these points it was proposed to widen to 4 laning now itself and accordingly the Government was requested to accord revised Administrative sanction for Rs 998.00 lakhs for four laning.
- vii. It this regard it is submitted that it was also requested to accord permission for entrusting the additional work also to the subsisting contractor due to the following factors.

(Contd...)

- a. The subsisting contractor has already commenced the work tackling the entire reach ie from Km 0/0 to 3/0, along with construction of retaining wall, which is within the original scope of the work , proposing to widen the carriageway uniformly to 10.00M.
 - b. Further a bill (LS part-I bill) has also been paid to the contractor for the work executed by him so far apart from mobilization advance.
 - c. Hence at this stage it may not be advisable to ask the contractor to limit the work from 0/0 to 1/500, limiting to the original estimate amount of Rs 550.00 lakhs, and to ask him to abandon the work which was partially executed in the remaining reach ie from 1/500 to 3/00.
 - d. If at all the balance work is entrusted to another agency, the execution at the same time by two different agencies creates lot of confusion and also requires coordination and cooperation.
 - e. The existing contractor for widening the carriageway to 10.00 m (as per original scope) and another contractor for widening the remaining portion ie. from 10.00 M to 14.00 M will not yield proper form of widening profile and geometrics in obtaining uniform widening by two different agencies in the same stretch of 3.00 Km will affect the cross profile as well as longitudinal profile of the road. Hence it is not feasible to get the work executed by two different agencies for the same reach without interference with another's work and without contractual obligations.(sketch enclosed)
 - f. Moreover, the original work was entrusted at a tender percentage of 15.72% less over estimate amount which was prepared based on 2008-09 SSR is very much favourable to the Government and it is not advisable to go for tender afresh taking into account of all the advantages as explained.
 - g. Hence it would be advantageous to Government to entrust the additional work also to the subsisting contractor at discount rates ie. 15.72% less not only from financial point of view but also from the view of avoiding contractual complications and there would be lot of time saving also.
8. The Engineer-in-Chief (R&B)Roads, Hyd., has requested the Government to accord revised Administrative sanction for the work of Widening and Strengthening of Bhimavaram-Pippara road from Km.0.00 to 3.00 (Upto Vishnu College) in West Godavari District, for an amount of Rs 998.00 lakhs, against original Administrative sanction for an amount of Rs 550.00 lakhs, vide G.O 1st read above, and also to accord permission for entrusting the additional work also to the subsisting contractor at the same tender percentage ie.15.72 % less over the estimate cost.
9. After careful examination of the matter, Government hereby accord revised Administrative Sanction for the work of Widening and Strengthening of Bhimavaram-Pippara road from Km.0.00 to 3.00 (Upto Vishnu College) in West Godavari District, for an amount of Rs 998.00 lakhs(Rupees nine hundred and ninety eight lakhs only), against original Administrative Sanction for Rs. 550.00 lakhs vide G.O 1st read above, and to accord permission for entrusting the additional work also to the subsisting contractor at the same tender percentage i.e., 15.72 % less over the estimate cost., under Normal State Plan, under Plan MDR Scheme.
10. The amount sanctioned in Para (9) above shall be met from the head of account -5054-COL on Roads & Bridges -04-District and Other Roads-GH11-Normal State Plan-MH-800-Other Expenditure-SH(07)-Major District Roads-530-Major works-531-Other Expenditure.

(PTO)

11. The Engineer-in-Chief (R&B) Roads, Hyd., shall take further action in the matter accordingly.

12. These orders issues with the concurrence of Finance (Expr.PW) Department, vide their U.O.No.25160/983/Expr.PW.II/2013, dated:09.10.2013.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

B. SAM BOB
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

The Engineer-in-Chief (R&B) Roads, Hyderabad.

Copy to:

The Accountant General, A.P, Hyderabad.

The Director of Works & Accounts, A.P, Hyderabad.

The Pay & Accounts Officer, A.P, Hyderabad.

The P.S to Secy.to CM/Prl. Secy., R&B/ OSD to M(R&B)

The Finance (Expr.PW-II) Deptt.

Sf/Sc.

//FORWARDED BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER